PSCI 2220: Crisis Diplomacy

Vanderbilt University

Spring 2016

TR 1:10–2:25 p.m.

Commons Center 320

Professor Brenton Kenkel
brenton.kenkel@vanderbilt.edu

Truesdays, 10:00 a.m.–12:00 p.m.
Commons Center 320

Commons Center 324

http://bkenkel.com/psci2220

Talk is cheap in international politics. There is no world government or other central authority to keep states from lying, bluffing, and breaking promises to each other. So what is the point of diplomacy? Can talking accomplish anything that force cannot? When, if ever, are international threats and promises believable? What kinds of states or leaders are most likely to follow through on their commitments? In short, how can a state make its words *credible*?

This course will not teach you the answers to these questions. Even if they had definitive answers, there is no expert consensus on what those answers are. What we will learn, instead, is how to think through crisis diplomacy in a scientific way. We will take a two-pronged approach. First, to make our task intellectually manageable, we will build theoretical models of crisis diplomacy—deliberate oversimplifications of reality that allow us to focus on the important aspects of crises. Second, we will use empirical evidence to evaluate the conclusions we draw from these models. No theory will ever fit the data perfectly, but some claims will find more support than others.

Grading

Your grade in PSCI 2220 will be based on:

• **Critical Response Papers (25%).** You will write two critical response papers, no more than five pages each, throughout the semester. In each paper, you will choose a course reading of particular interest to you and make a critical argument about its central claims. For more details, see the handout on critical response papers.

¹Page lengths quoted assume a double-spaced document with 12-point text and 1-inch margins.

- Midterm Exam (25%). We will have an in-class midterm consisting of short essay questions on February 25. If you cannot be in class on February 25, please let me know as soon as possible so we can make alternative arrangements.
- **Final Paper (40%).** The bulk of your grade is based on a research paper. The task of the final paper is to evaluate a hypothesis about an aspect of diplomacy in light of a historical or recent case. You should be thinking about potential paper topics all semester long. As we proceed through the schedule of readings, take time to consider which of the research questions we encounter are most interesting to you.

There are three parts to the final paper:

- Proposal. You will write a 1–2 page proposal summarizing (1) the research question you are going to address and (2) the historical or current-event evidence you will draw from. The proposal itself is not graded, but I will not accept your final paper submission unless you have submitted a proposal and I have approved it. Proposals are due in class on Tuesday, March 22.
- Presentation. In the last few class sessions, each student will deliver a brief (5–10 minute) presentation in class about his or her research design and preliminary findings. One-fourth of the grade for your paper (and thus 10% of your course grade) is based on your presentation.
- Paper. The paper itself should be about 15 pages long, and cannot exceed 20 pages (not including references). It is due at 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, April 28, which falls within the time that would ordinarily be reserved for the final exam.

For more details, see the handout on final papers.

• Class Participation (10%). This class is a seminar, and your participation is crucial for its success. I expect you not only to attend class, but also to have completed the readings and prepared to discuss them. Your attendance, preparation, and engagement in class discussion will all be reflected in your participation grade.

Academic Integrity

As in all courses at Vanderbilt, your work in PSCI 2220 is governed by the Honor Code. I encourage you to discuss course material and assignments with your peers, but the written work you turn in must be solely your own.

I have no tolerance for plagiarism. If you turn in plagiarized work, you will receive a failing grade for the course and be reported to the Honor Council. Plagiarism is not just verbatim copying and pasting—representing someone else's ideas as your own without citing the source is also a form of plagiarism. Ignorance of what constitutes plagiarism is not an excuse or a defense. For more information about what is and is not plagiarism, refer to the Student Handbook section on the Honor System, particularly the section "The Honor Code Applied to Preparation of Papers." Always remember: when in doubt, cite.

Special Accommodations

If you need course accommodations due to a disability, if you have emergency medical information to share with me, or if you need special arrangements in case the building must be evacuated, please make an appointment with me or with the Equal Opportunity, Affirmative Action, and Disability Services Department (2-4705) as soon as possible. Please also consult with me if you need to make audio or video recordings of lectures as part of a reasonable accommodation approved by EAD.

Books

The following books are required:

• Graham Allison and Philip Zelikow, Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis, 2nd ed. (Pearson, 1999).²

²The first and second editions of *Essence of Decision* differ substantially, so I require that you use the second.

- Thomas Schelling, *Arms and Influence* (Yale University Press, 1966).
- David Patrikarakos, *Nuclear Iran: The Birth of an Atomic State* (I.B. Tauris, 2012).

The midterm will be open-book and open-notes, but electronic devices will not be allowed. Accordingly, you may want to buy hard copies of the required books instead of e-books.

Schedule

The schedule of readings is tentative and is subject to change. I will inform you at least a week in advance of any changes to the required readings.

Links to all journal articles are available in the syllabus on the course website, http://bkenkel.com/psci2220/syllabus.html. Scans of book chapters will be made available through Blackboard.

January 12–14: Diplomacy and the International System

• Hedley Bull, "Diplomacy and International Order," chap. 7 in *The Anarchical Society* (Columbia University Press, 1977).

January 19–21: Two Views of Diplomacy

- Hans Morgenthau, "Diplomacy" and "The Future of Diplomacy," chap. 31–32 in *Politics Among Nations*, 6th ed. (Alfred A. Knopf, 1985).
- Robert Keohane and Joseph S. Nye Jr., "Realism and Complex Interdependence," chap. 2 in *Power and Interdependence*, 3rd ed. (Longman, 2000).

January 26-28: International Crises

• Course notes on "How to Read Social Science Research".

- George H. Quester, "Crises and the Unexpected," *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 18, no. 4 (1988): 701–719.
- James D. Fearon, "Rationalist Explanations for War," *International Organization* 49, no. 3 (1995): 379–414.

February 2-4: Deterrence Theory

- Thomas Schelling, *Arms and Influence*, chapters 1–3.
- Recommended:
 - Daniel Ellsburg, "The Crude Analysis of Strategy Choices," *American Economic Review* 51, no. 2 (1961): 472–478.
 - Bruce M. Russett, "The Calculus of Deterrence," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 7, no. 2 (1963): 97–109.
 - Paul Huth and Bruce Russett, "What Makes Deterrence Work? Cases from 1900 to 1980," *World Politics* 36, no. 4 (1984): 496–526.
 - Richard Ned Lebow and Janice Gross Stein, "Deterrence: The Elusive Dependent Variable," *World Politics* 42, no. 3 (1990): 336–369.

February 9-11: Spiral Models and the Security Dilemma

- Robert Jervis, "Deterrence, the Spiral Model, and Intentions of the Adversary," chap. 3 in *Perception and Misperception in International Politics* (Princeton University Press, 1976).
- Robert Jervis, "Cooperation under the Security Dilemma," *World Politics* 30, no. 2 (1978): 167–214.
- Recommended:
 - Charles L. Glaser, "The Security Dilemma Revisited," *World Politics* 50, no. 1 (1997): 171–201.
 - Andrew Kydd, "Game Theory and the Spiral Model," *World Politics* 49, no. 3 (1997): 371–400.

February 16–18: Case Study — The Cuban Missile Crisis

Your first critical response paper must be turned in by Tuesday, February 16.

• Graham Allison and Philip Zelikow, Essence of Decision, entire book.

February 23-25: Review and Midterm

Midterm exam in class on Thursday, February 25.

March 1-3: Domestic Politics and Diplomacy

- Robert D. Putnam, "Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games," *International Organization* 42, no. 3 (1988): 427–460.
- Brett Ashley Leeds, "Domestic Political Institutions, Credible Commitments, and International Cooperation," *American Journal of Political Science* 43, no. 4 (1999): 979–1002.

March 15-17: Domestic Politics, continued

No class March 17.

- James D. Fearon, "Domestic Political Audiences and the Escalation of International Disputes," *American Political Science Review* 88, no. 3 (1994): 577–592.
- Jack Snyder and Erica D. Borghard, "The Cost of Empty Threats: A Penny, Not a Pound," American Political Science Review 105, no. 3 (2011): 437– 456.
- Recommended:
 - Kenneth A. Schultz, "Domestic Opposition and Signaling in International Crises," *American Political Science Review* 92, no. 4 (1998): 829–844.

Brett Ashley Leeds, Michaela Mattes, and Jeremy S. Vogel, "Interests, Institutions, and the Reliability of International Commitments,"
 American Journal of Political Science 53, no. 2 (2009): 461–476.

March 22-24: Making and Keeping Peace

Final paper proposals are due in class on Tuesday, March 22.

- Virginia Page Fortna, "Scraps of Paper? Agreements and the Durability of Peace," *International Organization* 57, no. 2 (2003): 337–372.
- Suzanne Werner and Amy Yuen, "Making and Keeping Peace," *International Organization* 59, no. 2 (2005): 261–292.
- Recommended:
 - J. Michael Greig and Paul F. Diehl, "The Peacekeeping–Peacemaking Dilemma," *International Studies Quarterly* 49, no. 4 (2005): 621–645.
 - Andrew Kydd, "Which Side Are You On? Bias, Credibility, and Mediation," *American Journal of Political Science* 47, no. 4 (2003): 597–611.
 - Burcu Savun, "Information, Bias, and Mediation Success," *International Studies Quarterly* 52, no. 1 (2008): 25–47.
 - Katja Favretto, "Should Peacemakers Take Sides? Major Power Mediation, Coercion, and Bias," *American Political Science Review* 103, no. 2 (2009): 248–263.

March 29–31: Nuclear Diplomacy

- Robert Powell, "Nuclear Deterrence Theory, Nuclear Proliferation, and National Missile Defense," *International Security* 27, no. 4 (2003): 86–118.
- Nuno P. Monteiro and Alexandre Debs, "The Strategic Logic of Nuclear Proliferation," *International Security* 39, no. 2 (2014): 7–51.

• Recommended:

- Charles L. Glaser and Steve Fetter, "National Missile Defense and the Future of U.S. Nuclear Weapons Policy," *International Security* 26, no. 1 (2001): 40–92.
- Dong-Joon Jo and Erik Gartzke, "Determinants of Nuclear Weapons Proliferation," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 51, no. 1 (2007): 167–194.
- Kyle Beardsley and Victor Asal, "Winning with the Bomb," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53, no. 2 (2009): 278–301.
- Matthew Kroenig, "Nuclear Superiority and the Balance of Resolve: Explaining Nuclear Crisis Outcomes," *International Organization* 67, no. 1 (2013): 141–171.

April 5-12: Case Study — The Iran Nuclear Deal

Your optional bonus critical response paper must be turned in by Tuesday, April 5.

Your second critical response paper must be turned in by Tuesday, April 12.

- David Patrikarakos, *Nuclear Iran*, entire book. Skim Part I, read Part II carefully.
- Peter Baker, "G.O.P. Senators' Letter to Iran About Nuclear Deal Angers White House," *New York Times* (March 9, 2015). Also read the full text of the letter.
- Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, *The Iran Nuclear Deal:* A Definitive Guide (Harvard University, 2015).
- Full text of the deal itself.
- Shai Feldman and Ariel E. Levite, "Seven Realities That Made an Iran Deal Almost Inevitable," *The National Interest* (July 21, 2015).
- Alan Dershowitz, "The Case Against the Iran Deal," Newsweek (August 8, 2015).

• Graham Allison, "Nine Reasons to Support the Iran Deal," *The Atlantic* (August 4, 2015).

April 14–21: Final Paper Presentations

Final papers are due at 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, April 28.